Group Concept review and feedback

Group	Facilitator	Concept	Plus	Minus	Other Suggestions
					Additional trails/paths- encourage
					people
1	Angie Allen	Concept 1	Least amount of parking	No restroom facility	to stay on the paths
			Least amount of traffic	Things that will be lost - wildlife,	Stronger educational component,
		+	generated	standing	involve
				barn can	Diago for valuation areas as a set of
			Logot impost	hold all equip. No need for additional	Place for volunteer groups- part of residence
			Least impact No restrooms	additional	residence
		+	No picnicking		Favor option 1- least impact,
			140 pichicking		most simplistic, preserves most
			Potential soothing space		trees
			Like adding benches to sit		11000
			Simplicity, highlights the		
			beauty of the site		
			Least impact on trees		
			Minimal additions		
		Concept 2	Dual purpose of residence	Picnic tables	
			Restrooms	Interpretive area not needed	
				component	
			Perimeter path	buses	
			Additional gardens/ hort.		
			Resources	Orientation bldg	
			LIMITED educational		
		1	programs	Not sure about added gardens	
				Increased traffic and parking	
					Not discussed- not viewed as an
		Concept 3			option
					Too intensely developed, increased
					traffic
					increased parking
	Michael			No trails or directional/	Combine some elements of other
2	McDonnell	Concept 1	Relatively unchanged	informational	concepts
	MCDOIIIEII	Concept	Current charm and character	Informational	Concepts
			remains	Not much "interest" for visitors	Slow down planning process
			"Galax" groundcovering	Concept limits potential & future	Clow down planning process
			maintain	growth	
			On-site management to curtail		
			"evening activities		
					Should have an educational
					element for
		Concept 2	Trail around perimeter	Take away some trees	nearby schools
			"New Garden"- if use as an		
			evolving/	Picnic tables (introduces a new	Barn used for a purpose
		1	seasonal/ evolution of gardens	element)	(restoration)
				Orientation bldg in present	
			L	location	
			Barn remains intact	-obstructs view	
				A delition of the same let the	
				Additional/ new bldgs may destroy	
				property & growth- changes the	
				land.	
					Expand visitor center for other uses
		Concept 2		Too many huildings	
		Concept 3		Too many buildings	i.e. water fountains, rest rooms

Group	Facilitator	Concept	Plus	Minus	Other Suggestions
				Full-time staff unnecessary	Should not be considered
					Concensus is a combined effort on
				Too much in too small a space	plan at
				Invite more traffic	
				Add element of "other" outdoor	
				activities	
	Jim				
	Pomeroy/				
3	Liz Crowell	Concept 1	Trees & wildlife preservation	No facilities	"Green" bathroom facilities
			Quiet, clean		
			Caretaker on site		
		0	Limited parking	In annual of the second of the	Lutte as a section
		Concept 2	Trail	Increased use	Little more structure
			Caretaker on site	More parking spaces  More traffic	Public use space
			Herb gardens		
				Some concerns about too many	
		<u> </u>		changes Trash from picnic tables	
		Concept 3		Trasit from pionic tables	
		Somoopt 3			
					may need one or two items from
					Concept
4	Cindy Brown	Concept 1	Simple	Kiosk & benches are too much	2
				Not enough upkeeps/	l
			Short visits	maintenance	Needs more trials
			Mrs. White's preference?	No bathroom facilities	Access to pond maintained
			l and burners was in bottom for		No benches, some benches
			Less human use is better for		(divided
			wildlife		opinion)
			Keeps maximum naturalist Minimizes outside visitors		
			No picnic tables		
		Concept 2	Added gardens	Picnic tables draws visitors	Considerations for handicapped
		Concept 2	Added garderis	Kiosk preferable to orientation	Considerations for Handicapped
			Kiosk is a plus	bldg	Community input on kiosk design
			Expanded trails	bidg	Community input on Roak design
		Concept 3		Much more maintenance required	
		000001			
5	Kelly Davis	Concept 1	Minimum impact	Parking	Sign- no peeing in bushes
-	<b>,</b>		Vegetative screening	No restrooms	No dogs allowed
					limited
			25 parking spaces	No water	hrs.
		1	2 F3 ebacco	Expansion goes against Mrs.	
				White's	
			Easier to add to or expand	vision	
			· ·	No development of gardens	
			Least invasive	allowed	
			Lowest cost in terms of		
		<u> </u>	maintenance		
			Least impact to nature		
					Concept 1 most preferred, then
		Concept 2	Expansion of gardens	Bathrooms-don't need	Concept 2
			Horticultural programs	Small orientation bldg	
			Restrooms	Picnic tables	
			Perimeter Trail	Expansion of gardens	
		ļ		Too much parking	
		ļ		Orientation bldg-unstaffed	
		ļ		Too large impact on nature	
	Irish		Less traffic- neighborhood &		
6	Grandfield	Concept 1	park	Lack bathroom / water fountain	Enhance pond

Group	Facilitator	Concept	Plus	Minus	Other Suggestions
			Keep natural plants & wildlife	No 24 hr. person / little value	
			intact	added	ADA issues
			Caretaker	Too few traits	Use organic gardening
			Barn stabilization	Kiosk	Add perimeter trails
			See all signs	Too limited	Enhance barn
				Too restrictive	Add restroom, water fountain
			A 1 150		Wanted 1 bathroom - minimal
		Concept 2	Additional gardens	Orientation bldg	impact w/ water fountains
				Don't add programs- tours/ nature/	
			Picnic tables	guided walks	
			Woodland trail perimeter	Picnic tables	
			Water fountains/ bathroom	Fichic tables	
			Water fountains/ batinoon	Overkill with increase programs,	
				traffic,	
		Concept 3	Restore barn	bldg, too much activity	Don't do it
		Concept o	Troctoro barri	Diag, too mach activity	Don't do it
	Andi				
7	Dorlester	Concept 1	Low budget impact	25 parking spaces seems like a lot	Smaller-more parking areas
			Keeps use down to protect the		
		1	natural		Could this be a walk in park only, no
			resources themselves	Don't like the drive in park idea	parking
			Keep traffic down		Decide entrance before use of park
			Least disruptive to the nature		
			that		
			exists		
			Interpretive walks - could be		
			added to	Don't like picnic tables or picnic	Need cost estimates to consider
		Concept 2	Concept 1	areas	use
			Caretaker cottage use as	,	Keep parking lot in center of
			program site	Trash is issue w/ picnicing	property
			additional gardens	115 01 5	"
				amplification for ceremonies is	runoff - no
		Concept 3	None	problem	asphalt
				Woddings noise	Caratakar as source of information
			+	Weddings- noise	Caretaker as source of information
	Meghan				
8	Fellows	Concept 1	Low impact	No bathrooms	Adding plant sales on site
U	i ellows	Concept i	Low impact	No opportunity for revenue	Parking for 25 can be gravel, not
			Low traffic	generating	paved
			Low traine	Barn is not utilized as a historic	paroa
		1	Less parking	structure	
		1	Least expensive to maintain	No water fountain	
			Someone living there		
		Concept 2	Updating gardens	Public restrooms costly	Weddings only/ no receptions
			Bathrooms	More people use park	Updating barn
			Perimeter walking trail	More traffic/ parking	Restoration of barn
			Someone living there and		Some additional amenities, but
			offices, minimal programs	No picnic tables/ no event forming	worried about cost
					Casual groups/ children
			Seating		programming
			Greenhouse and plant	Infrastructure is already available	
		Concept 3	propagation	at Green Spring	Leave as natural as possible
			1	Visitor Center	New gardens can go anywhere
				Overdeveloped	Remove dangerous bldgs
			B	Underutilizes the facilties	
			Barn stabilization/ building	especially	
9	Sherry Frear	Concept 1	preservation	caretaker house	Minimal taxpayer impact
<u> </u>			Fact of caretaker's residence	No equip. storage bldg	Provide maintenance
		<u> </u>	Signs		Use barn for storage

Group	Facilitator	Concept	Plus	Minus	Other Suggestions
Олоцр	1 dominator	Сопосре	1146	- Innitial	1 member suggested no develop. or public
					use at all
		Concept 2	Path expansion	Not so much parking	Use residence for restrooms
			Perimeter path	Orientation bldg, certainly as sited	
			New gardens	Addition of bldgs	
			14cw gardens	No picnic tables - change nature	
				of park	
					public
		Concept 3	See 1 + 2	Overuse/ changes nature	facility
				Attracts too much traffic	
				All additional upon are "minuona"	
				All additional uses are "minuses"  No additional bldgs	
				No additional blugs	
	Condu		impact to property &	and be	Other entions sould be added via a
10	Sandy Stallman	Concept 1	surrounding areas	nuisance to neighbors	Other options could be added via a phased approach
10	Otalinan	Concept i	Minimalist approach in spirit of	Traisance to heighbors	рназеч арргоасн
			Mrs.		Can property be redeeded to be
			White's original intent		park authority HQ?
			Caretaker on site, may provide		Limit park access to certain times of
			oversite, and reduce crime		day or certain times of year
					Overall park lighting impacting
					general quietness of neighborhood
					Concept 2 is disliked as more
		Concept 2		Orientation bldg is not liked	invasive than #1
				Don't like trait use of bldg	May not need nature quiet zone
					May leave trail as is, undeveloped,
					until parks usage warrants it
					May increase size of pond
					Concept 3 is disliked, entirely too
		Concept 3			invasive
			House as caretaker's home		
11	Lynn Tadlock	Concept 1	only		Expand gardens - specialty plants
	Lyriii Taalook	Concept 1	Stabilize barn		Native tree arboretum in meadow
					Leave woodlands as a bird
			Add equip. storage bldg		sanctuary
			Keep/maintain trails as they		
			are		Keep/use barn for storage
			Put benches for rest Self-guided tours; interpretive		
			kiosk		
			Lawn area as a meadow		
			Install screening & signs		
			Self-directed, casual		
			experience		
			No visitor amenities		
			25 parking spaces 30 visitors/day		
			Extremely limited use of	Dual use (residence/garden	
		Concept 2	residence might	programs)	
			Gardens ok	Picnic tables	
			Expanded trail (unpaved)	No small orientation bldg	
				No linkage	
				No 25-40 parking sp. Lot	
				General opposition to all aspects of this	
				concept especially enlarged	
		Concept 3		parking lot	

Group	Facilitator	Concept	Plus	Minus	Other Suggestions
12	Clare Kiley	Concept 1	Minimal impact		Add bathrooms - green bathrooms
					Add minimal classes/ possible local
					groups/ doing hort. Center
					Perimeter path
				Is there a need- does GS not fill	
		Concept 2	Offers more choices	need?	
			It already exists in GS and		
			Hidden		
		Concept 3	Oaks	Too much impact	
			hat a writer of many autors	The are leadly as he are to be a delicated in	
	IZ:-L. I.III/		Integrity of property as	There isn't going to be visitorship-	
	Kirk Holley/	0	Margaret kept	you	0-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11
13	Keli Garman	Concept 1	it	wouldn't envision as a park	Concept 1 1/2
			One all and because the constitution	No notice to a set since of a sinkle see	
			Small and keeping things the	Negative to next ring of neighbors-	
			way they are  Maintain character of	minimize availability	Upgrade gardens, new gardens
				Nie westween	
			neighborhood	No restroom	
			Minimize impact to	Can't have meetings that are there	
			neighborhood	now	
			Maintain plan, keep horticultural		
			space		
			Not busy used for modification		
		Concept 2	Not busy, used for meditation	Now buildings	Take out orientation bldg
		Concept 2	New gardens	New buildings	Provide restrooms, and mtg space
			More to offer/ a little bit more		
			to offer		in bouse
			Perimeter path		house
		Concept 3	r enneter patri	Taking away hort. Space	
		Concept 3		Too much development	
		Ĺ		100 maon development	

Group	Facilitator	Pros/Cons	Princess Anne	Rolfs	Kerns	Horseman	Goldsboro	Vehicle Access		Pedestrian Access	Parking Location
								1st choice	2nd choice		1
				Picked that based on				Kerns			
			Already existing	hort. &				Goldsboro			
1	Angie Allen	Pros	entrance	topo	Least intrusive		Least invasive	(tied)		Mixed feelings	
					Already has busy						
					traffic					Responses:	
					Tons of trees there						
					- some could come						
					down without being						
					a prob.					No ped. access	
					Fewest people					Only ped. access at	
					impacted					main entrance	
							Kids run across the				
			Road widening taking			Not considered	road now- would				
			out the large, old	Private property to		as viable	make it more			Ped. access at	
		Cons	maples	cross	remove	option	dangerous			every St.	
					Serious changes,						
					narrow piece		Hlly			One at main	
					Have to acquire						
					land		Dangerous			2 ped. Entrance	
										Every road	
							Does not need				
							expansion (less				
	Michael	_			Existing roadway	Less impact for	budget needed for				
2	McDonnell	Pros	Existing roadway		and through road	cul-de-sac		Kerns	Goldsboro	Kerns	
							Improvements are			0.111	
							less intrusive			Goldsboro	
	-	-					Sidewalk existing			Horseman	
							Access to elementary				
							school			Princess Anne	
							No new turn lanes				
							needed				
			trees & additional				Concern about				
			improvements for	Combined Rolf &			reversing previous				
		Cons	roads	Princess Anne			board decision				
			Destroy the "Galax"								
			growth on White	Private land and legal							
		<u> </u>	property	issues							
			property	means							
			(use as private	removal of trees							
	1	1	(								
		1					1	1	1		

								Vehicle		Pedestrian	
Group	Facilitator	Pros/Cons	Princess Anne	Rolfs	Kerns	Horseman	Goldsboro	Access		Access	Parking Location
					Existing trail to use						
	Jim				as		Good overflow				
	Pomeroy/		Used as utility	Possible pedestrian	pedestrian		parking at Sleepy				
3	Liz Crowell	Pros	entrance only	entrance	entrance	Large cul-de-sac	Hollow Elementary	Goldsboro	Princess A.	Kerns	
					Already a main						
			Fire trucks, etc.		road	Fire hydrant	No widening			Horseman	
						No tree					
			Parking area only			destruction					
			near residence		Traffic control	needed	Sidewalks				
						Pedestrian	Least disruptive to				
					Small parking lot	walkway	trees & plantings				
							Turning lanes, traffic				
							control				
		Cons	Old growth trees	Private property		Small pond	Not direct route				
			Plantings	Old growth	Private property						
			No cul-de-sac	Wildlife	Old growth						
						Helps to get rid of	Road already in				
4	Cindy Brown	Pros				that pond	existence	Goldsboro	Princess A.	All five	
							Goldsboro rd				
							neighborhood				
		Cons					objections				
			Natural entrance-								
			existing					Kerns	Kerns		
5	Kelly Davis	Pros	entrance	Not as much widening	Least impact		Road there	Goldsboro	Princess A.	Princess A.	
			Good handicap	impact vs.							
			access	Princess Anne	Slow road		Lowest cost			Kerns	
			Existing EMS access		Low costs		Access is good			Horseman	
			ĺ		project		<u> </u>				
					-can handle high						
					capacity		Underground utilities			Goldsboro	
		1			No obstruction of						
					view from house		Cul-de-sac at end				
					Good choice		Left turn lane				
							No line of sight				
							problems				
		1									
							Supervisors can give				
							land back to park				
			Loss of 200 yr. old								
			trees on		Impact on better		26 children/				
		Cons	road not site	Loss of vegetation		Pond impact	grandchildren				
		53110					100+ children				
			Changes entrance	Loss of homeowner's	Line of sight poor	Ecological	crossing Sleepy				
			character	yards	visibility	impact/ disaster	Hollow Rd + SHES				
	1	I	o. laraotor	1,4.40	· ioioiiity	inpact disaster	c.iow ita i orico	l .	1	I	

								Vehicle		Pedestrian	
Group	Facilitator	Pros/Cons	Princess Anne	Rolfs	Kerns	Horseman	Goldsboro	Access	T	Access	Parking Location
			No accomodation to				Multiple hearings to				
				Problem w/ right of			get land back from				
				way on		Access via	county. How long will				
				Hawthorne		Kennedy	this take?				
			Ruin character of			Access via	Adding to traffic				
			entrance			Sleepy Hollow	problems				
							Too long of road on				
			Take out trees on site,				site, could be				
			azaleas				problem				
			Diminish value of site								
			Changes character of								
			entire St.								
			High cost option								
	Irish										
6	Grandfield	Pros						Goldsboro	Princess A.	Princess A.	7 vote- 2 lots
			Concern: destroy								
			character of								
			neighborhood &								
		Cons	property				Legal concerns			Kerrns	5 vote-1 lot
			Destroy trees, larger								
			effect on park							Goldsboro	no big vehicle
											location - uncertain
	Andi							Goldsboro			
7	Dorlester	Pros						Kerns (tie)	Kerns	Princess A	
							Soccer players from				
							Sleepy Hollow School				
							could potenially drive				
		Cons					to park and drink			Kerns	
										Goldsboro	
										Horseman	
	Meghan										No parking lot
8	Fellows	Pros	Existing entrance		Next cheap		Wide enough	Goldsboro	Kerns	Princess A.	should be paved
					Already thorough		Cheapest			Kerns	Bluestone w/ trees
T	 				Lower impact in the						
					neighborhood		Most sense			Goldsboro	Lots of trees
					Slow speed		Never quality trees			Horseman	
					·		Straight line of sight				
							Left turn lane				
							Keep away from				
	4		ĺ	ĺ	ĺ			1			
	1						gardens				
				High impact to	Get more traffic in		gardens				

Group	Facilitator	Pros/Cons	Princess Anne	Rolfs	Kerns	Horseman	Goldsboro	Vehicle Access		Pedestrian Access	Parking Location
·			High impact to neighbors	High cost	Gated like at G.S.	Drive through more neighbor. Streets					
				riigir cost	Cost of tree removal	Streets					
			High cost		Temovai						
9	Sherry Frear	Pros			Appear to be least impact		Least cost	Goldsboro	Kerns (tie)	Princess A.	
					Least cost		Existing structure			Rolfs	
		Cons	Destruction of trees	Destruction of trees	Destruction of trees	Pond destruction				Kerns	
										Horseman	
								1		Goldsboro	
					Already larger road						
	Sandy		Current entrance		w/ spread humps &		Already has				
	Stallman	Pros	exists		lights		sidewalks	Kerns		Princess A.	
			Area near entrance is		Relatively small #		D				
			flat, -easier to improve		of houses to		Road already wide			Kerns	
			-easier to improve		acquire right of way		enough			Rems	
					High traffic already					Horseman	
					Could put parking lot near entrance to						
					reduce road bldg					Goldsboro	
			Not build to VDOT standards	Same as Princess Anne	High grade to account	Adds to overall cut thru on Kennedy, Holloman, Annandale	School across				
			of way of existing properties to	be acquired and	May impact main garden	change to existing traffic	Already has traffic impact				
			Reducing yards &	acquired and	9414011	calcula traine		1			
			trees			Pond issue					
			Number of homes is small so % change is high								
			Surrounding roads will increase in volume as well								
			Left turn lane added on Annandale								
11	Lynn Tadlock	Pros			Most heavily travelled	Cul-de-sac	Cheapest	Kerns (8 votes)	No consensus	Princess A.	

								Vehicle		Pedestrian	
Group	Facilitator	Pros/Cons	Princess Anne	Rolfs	Kerns	Horseman	Goldsboro	Access	1	Access	Parking Location
					Residents adapted		Fewest				
					to traffic		improvements			Rolfs	
					Would widen/						
					improve rd					Goldsboro	
					Least						
					neighborhood						
					impact						
			Remove trees/				1988 Supervisors				
			plantings	Street Changes	Tree clearing	Pond	decision				
		00//0	J-1	o m o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	Regrading/unsight						
			Street changes		ed rd	Indirect/circuitous					
			otroot onangee		Might be most	man ook on oakoao					
					expensive						
					entrance						
					Ciliance						
					Already collector						
40	Clara Kilay	Drag	Classita residence				Infrastructura aviata	Caldahara		A II 4:	
12	Clare Kiley	Pros	Closet to residence	Already improved	road		Infrastructure exists	Goldsboro		All five	
					Doesn't need		Overflow parking				
					widening		available w/ school				
							Sidewalk exists				
							Infrastructure there				
			Concerns about tree	Disruption to		Destroys pond					
		Cons	removed	neighborhood	Hilly	area	Increased traffic				
				Takes down resources							
				in	How get turn into						
			Traffic from Holloman	neighborhood	prop.		Busy intersection				
				Involves taking private							
			improvements	prop.							
				F. 7 F.							
								Kerns			
	Kirk Holley/								No		
	Keli Garman	Pros						(tie)		Princess A.	
13	iton Gairnain	1 103						(ue)	CONSCIISUS	TITIOGSS A.	
					Safety issue for						
		Cono								Dolfo	
		Cons			peds. due to traffic					Rolfs	
					1		l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	1	1	Horseman	l